## Case 5:06-cv-06331-JW Document 21 Filed 06/14/07 Page 1 of 3

1	GONZALEZ & LEIGH, LLP		
2	MATT GONZALEZ - 153486   G. WHITNEY LEIGH - #153457		
3	BRYAN VERESCHAGIN - #188608 Two Shaw Alley, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor		
4	San Francisco, ČA 94105 Telephone: (415) 512-2000		
5	Facsimile: (415) 512-2001		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff CHRISTINA SANCHEZ		
7			
8	RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney (#88625) MICHAEL J. DODSON, Sr. Deputy City Attorn	nev (#1507/13)	
9	Office of the City Attorney	ley (#1377+3)	
10	200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, California 95113-1905		
11	Telephone No.: (408) 535-1900 Facsimile No.: (408) 998-3131		
12	Attorneys for Defendants:		
13	City of San Jose and Robert Davis		
14			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
18			
19	CHRISTINA SANCHEZ, an individual,	Case No. C06-06331 JW	
20	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATION AND	
21	V.	[PROPOSED] ORDER TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER	
22	CITY OF SAN JOSE; ROBERT DAVIS in his capacity as CHIEF OF POLICE OF THE SAN		
23	JOSE POLICE DEPARTMENT; and DOES 1 THROUGH 75, individually and in their		
24	official capacities as employees of the CITY		
25	OF SAN JOSE; and DOES 76-100 individually, inclusive,		
26	Defendants.		
27			
28			

## Case 5:06-cv-06331-JW Document 21 Filed 06/14/07 Page 2 of 3

Plaintiff by and through her counsel of record, Bryan Vereschagin of Gonzalez & Leigh LLP, and defendants by and through their counsel of record, Michael J. Dodson of the San Jose City Attorneys' Office have met and conferred and agreed that all parties need to modify the Court's Scheduling Order.

Good cause exists for the parties' joint stipulation to modify the Court's Scheduling Order. It is necessary because of: (1) unforeseen difficulties in identifying the City of San Jose Police Officers involved in the incident with Christina Sanchez (the incident was captured by KPIX 5 camera crews, but the video footage is grainy, and the City of San Jose has not been able to identify the 2 officers involved in the incidents giving rise to plaintiff's claims); and (2) difficulties in scheduling the depositions of many third-party witnesses to the incident due to the fact that they are other unidentified San Jose police officers or college students with conflicting class schedules and, in some instances, changed addresses.

Plaintiff, a San Jose State University student, filed this lawsuit on October 10, 2006. The lawsuit asserts claims against DOE defendant officers of the San Jose Police Department, the City of San Jose and Police Chief Robert Davis for constitutional violations under 42 U.S.C. section 1983 in addition to claims for assault and battery. The incident giving rise to plaintiff's claim occurred during the year 2006 Mardis Gras celebration in downtown San Jose. At some point around midnight, an incident occurred between plaintiff and certain unidentified San Jose police officers wherein plaintiff was shoved into the concrete wall outside of City Hall in San Jose and struck with a baton in her rib cage. Plaintiff suffered a fractured rib. The incident was captured on video by KPIX, but the footage is not clear, and approximately 8 officers are shown on the video, but only two were involved in the force deployed against plaintiff. Presently, the identity of the officers who deployed force against plaintiff has not been able to be determined by the City of San Jose. Plaintiff cannot maintain her non-Monell 42 U.S.C. section 1983 claims unless the officers who deployed force against her are identified by the City of San Jose, as there is no respondeat superior liability under 42 U.S.C. section 1983. Plaintiff will need to add the officers involved in her incident as defendants once identified.

28 || /

## Case 5:06-cv-06331-JW Document 21 Filed 06/14/07 Page 3 of 3

1	Given the problems in identifying the officers involved in the incident with plaintiff, and		
2	significance to plaintiff's claims in identifying said officers, all parties have stipulated and		
3	agreed that the Court's Scheduling Order should be modified as follows:		
4	1.	Close of All Non- Expert Discovery:	November 30, 2007
5	2.	Expert Disclosures:	November 30, 2007
6	3.	Close of Expert Discovery:	January 19, 2008
7	4.	Last Day for hearing dispositive moti	ons: February 25, 2008
8	5. Preliminary Pretrial Conference Statements: April 11, 2008		
9	6.	Preliminary Pretrial Conference:	April 21, 2008
10	7.	Last day to add parties:	Dependent upon when identified.
11	8.	Trial:	To be set at Preliminary Pretrial Conference
12	Dated: June 12, 2007 GONZALEZ & LEIGH, LLP		
13			
14	By: /s/ Bryan Vereschagin		
15	BRYAN VERESCHAGIN Attorneys for Plaintiff		
16	CHRISTINA SANCHEZ		
17			
18	Dated: June 12, 2007 CITY OF SAN JOSE		
19			
20	By: /s/_Michael J. Dodson MICHAEL J. DODSON		
21	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF SAN JOSE AND		
22			ROBERT DAVIS
23			
24	PURSUANT TO THE ABOVE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:		
25			
26	Dated: June	e 14 2007	James Ubse
27	Dated	THE	AONORABLE JAMES WARE TED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28		UN	IPD STATES DISTRICT JUDGE